July 31, 2002

Form BLR 5253 – "Approval of Design Variances"

COUNTY ENGINEERS/SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS MUNICIPAL ENGINEERS/DIRECTORS OF PUBLIC WORKS CONSULTING ENGINEERS

#02-16

The attached Form BLR 5253 has been developed to ensure as well as document that designers have identified and evaluated any design variances that may be necessary for local highway improvement projects. In general, the designer is responsible for making a reasonable effort to meet the criteria established in the Bureau of Local Roads and Streets (BLRS) manuals. However, recognizing that this will not always be practical, nor cost effective, the following process has been established to evaluate and approve variances. This process shall apply to all federal, state and MFT funded projects on local facilities for new construction, reconstruction, and 3R projects.

Design criteria have various levels of importance. BLRS has established two levels of design criteria for local agency projects processed through IDOT. Depending upon the level of the requested design variance, approval may be by either the IDOT district or the BLRS. Form BLR 5253 includes the design criteria to be evaluated for either a Level One or Level Two variance. The determination as to which level is required is discussed further in the following sections:

#### **Level One Design Variances**

Level One Design Criteria are controlling design criteria judged to be the most critical indicators of a highway's safety and overall serviceability. Level One design variances must receive approval from the BLRS. For any Level One element not meeting BLRS design criteria, the designer shall prepare a statement identifying the design element, comparing proposed design with the BLRS design criteria, and providing justification for the design variance.

### **Level Two Design Variances**

Variances from Level Two design criteria shall receive approval from the district office except for projects covered by an Agreement of Understanding. The local agency may determine the acceptability of a Level Two design variance for those projects. For any Level Two element that does not meet BLRS design criteria, the designer should prepare a statement similar to the requirement for Level One variances. It should be noted that a Level Two design variance may not require as much justification as a Level One variance to receive approval of the variance.

### **Processing of Design Variances**

The designer shall use Form BLR 5253 to document the adherence of a proposed project to the BLRS design criteria as well as to summarize the justification and approval of variances that are necessary for the completion of

the project. The information in the form may be presented for approval at district project coordination meetings. The minutes of the coordination meeting will serve as the documentation of the approval. Requests for variances may also be submitted in writing to the district office. A written approval will then be sent to the local agency.

For federally funded projects, the entire form must be completed and submitted prior to the submittal of the project development report. The form, along with any approval and a copy of the minutes of any coordination meeting, shall be included in the project development report.

For MFT and state funded local projects, the form shall be completely filled out by those local agencies without a Professional Engineer (P.E.) on staff. Local agencies with a P.E. on staff will only need to fill out page one and those portions of the form where a design variance from a specific design criteria is being requested. The form should be submitted to the district prior to submittal of the plans. Local agencies operating under an Agreement of Understanding will be allowed to determine the acceptability of Level Two design variances without district approval. A copy of the form should be kept in the local agency's project file.

Form BLR 5253 is available on the Web at <a href="http://www.dot.state.il.us/blr/blrforms.html">http://www.dot.state.il.us/blr/blrforms.html</a>. Questions may be directed to your district office or Teresa Price at (217) 785-1664.

Sincerely,

Darrell W. McMurray, P.E.

Engineer of Local Roads and Streets

TCP/dg

Attachment



### Approval of Design Variance

# **Project Identification** County: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_ Local Agency: \_\_\_ Section No.: \_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_ Route: Street/Road Name: Project Length: \_\_\_\_\_ Functional Classification: \_\_\_\_\_ Design Traffic: DHV \_\_\_\_ ADT Design Year: Existing Structure No.: Proposed Structure No.: **Project Scope of Work** Is this project located on the NHS? ☐ Yes □ No a. b. Is this project on a Strategic Regional Arterial (SRA) route? ☐ Yes □ No C. Funding ☐ MFT/State Assistance Federal d. Type of Work ☐ Reconstruction □ 3R ☐ Suburban ☐ Rural ☐ 3R Design Guidelines Urban Other e. f. Provide a brief project description (major construction elements): **District Coordination Meetings** Has project been previously discussed at district coordination meetings? Yes □ No (If yes, attach minutes of variance approvals) Dates:

# **Level One Design Variance Approval**

Local Agency:	Section No.	:		
Design Criteria for Project	BLR&S	Varia	nce	Summary of Variance
(Provide numerical value where indicated)	Criteria	Yes	No	and Justification
1. Design Speed: mph				
Level of Service (Mainline):				
3. Lane Widths		_		
a. Through Lanes: feet				
b. Turn Lanes: feet				
c. Parking Lanes: feet				
d. Bike Lanes: feet				
4. Through Travel Lane Cross Slopes				
Inside Lane: %				
Outside Lane: %				
(if more than 2 lanes)				
5. Shoulder Widths: feet				
6. Horizontal Curvature (Minimum Radius)				
feet				
List curves not meeting criteria				
Sta. Radius Design Speed		_		
		l∐		
		l ∐		
7. Superelevation Rates				
<b>e</b> max %			Ш	
List curves for which <b>e</b> does not meet criteria				
<u>PI Sta.</u> <u>Radius</u> <u>e</u> <u>Design Speed</u>				
			님	
		$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash$	
O Mavinovno Orada			<u> </u>	
8. Maximum Grade: % 9. Minimum Intersection Sight Distance				
S .				
feet List locations not meeting the criteria			Ш	
Cross Road Distance				
<u>5.555 1.555</u>				
		ΙH	H	
		ΙḦ́	H	
10. Minimum Stopping Sight Distance				
feet				
a. Crest Vertical Curves – Min. K value		ΙĒ	$\overline{\sqcap}$	
List curves not meeting the criteria			_	
VPI Sta. Sight Distance Design Speed Curve Length				
b. Sag Vertical Curves – Min. K value				
List curves not meeting the criteria				
VPI Sta. Sight Distance Design Speed Curve Length				
		l L	$\Box$	

# **Level One Design Variance Approval**

Loca	Agency:	Section No.:			
<u>St</u> a	c. Inside of Horizontal Curves  List curves not meeting the criteria  a. Sight Distance Design Speed Radius				
11.	Clear Roadway Bridge Widths: feet				
12.	Freeboard Above Design High Water: feet				
13.	Vertical Clearances:  Over Roadway/RR feet  Under Structure feet				
14.	Accessibility Criteria for Disabled Persons List any feature not meeting ADA Criteria				
15.	Roadside Clear Zone:  a. Tangent feet  b. Outside of Curve  List criteria for each radius				
	Radius (ft) Clear Zone (ft)				
16.	Intersection(s) Level of Service:				
17.	Warrants for Stop Signs or Signals  Cross Road  Warrant				
18.	Pavement Design (list any variance to policy)				
	Prepared By:	sultant)		Date:	
	When Prepared by Consultant Local Agency Concurrence:			Date:	
	IDOT District Office Concurrence Date		Central E	BLR&S Appro	oval Date

# **Level Two Design Variance Approval**

Loca	ıl Agency:	Section No.:			
	Design Criteria for Project (Provide numerical value where indicated)	BLR&S Criteria	Vari Yes	ance No	Summary of Variance and Justification
1.	Design Period: years	20 years			
2.	Horizontal Alignment (Mainline)				
	<ul> <li>a. Minimum Superelevation Transition Lengths:</li> <li> feet</li> <li>b. Superelevation Distribution Between</li> </ul>	2/3 : 1/3			
	Tangent and Curve:				
3.	Vertical Alignment (Mainline)				
	<ul> <li>a. Minimum Grade of Urban Cross</li> <li>Section %</li> <li>b. Minimum Length of Vertical Curves feet</li> </ul>	0.3%			
	c. Maximum K value of Vertical Curves (for curbed facilities)	167			
4.	Cross Section Elements (Mainline)				
	<ul> <li>a. Design of Parking Lanes</li> <li>Cross Slope: %</li> <li>b. Design of Sidewalks</li> </ul>	Afrai			
	<ul> <li>Width: feet</li> <li>Buffer Distance: feet</li> <li>Cross Slope: %</li> <li>Longitudinal Grades: %</li> </ul>	4 feet 2 feet 2% max. 5% max.			
	c. Median  Type:  Width: feet				
	d. Shoulder Cross Slopes: %				
	e. Rollover Factor %				
	f. Curb and Gutter Type g. Roadway Element				
	<ul><li>Steepest Front Slopes: (H:V)</li><li>Steepest Back Slopes: (H:V)</li></ul>				
5.	Drainage (Flood Frequency)				
	a. Pavement: years				
	b. Structure: years				
	c. Storm Sewer: years				
6.	Intersections				
	a. Level of Service for Individual Movement:				
	<ul><li>Through Lanes:</li></ul>				
	Turn Lanes:			Ш	
	b. Skew Angle: Degrees				
	c. Approach Grades: %				
	d. Design Vehicle:				
	e. Turning Radius for Design Vehicle:				

# **Level Two Design Variance Approval**

Local Agency:	Section No.:
f. Minimum Corner Island Size:	
g. Minimum Turn Lane Length feet	
<ul> <li>Approach Taper: feet</li> </ul>	
Departure Taper: feet	
Bay Taper: feet	
h. Entrances	
Entrance Type Max. Width (ft.) Min. Width (ft.) Max. Grade(%)	
Commercial	
Residential	
7. RR Crossings	
<ul> <li>Type of Railroad Protection:</li> </ul>	
b. Crossing Width (at 90° angle) feet	
8. Lighting	
a. Illuminance lux	
b. Uniformity Ratio	
9. Other Items	
Prepared By:	Date:
Designer (Local Agency or Co	nsultant)
When Prepared by Consultant	Deter
Local Agency Concurrence:	Date:
IDOT District Office Concurrence Date	Central BLR&S Approval Date